

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/506,434	02/18/2000	Ravi Acharya	47004.000059	8248
21967	7590 05/30/2003			
HUNTON & WILLIAMS			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W.			BERGIN, JAMES S	
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3624	
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/506,434 ·		ACHARYA ET AL.	
Examin r	Art Unit		

3624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address --

James S. Bergin

THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2003. FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	VINCENT WILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	Other:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 19-22.
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2,3,6-9,12,16,18,19,22-24,27,28 and 30-49</u> .
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,10,11,13,15,17 and 21.</u>
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
(d) Methey present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
•	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
1.🛛	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>11 March 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in re, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
ь) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
a) [5	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Lxaiii	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation She t (PTO-303) 09/506,434

Application No.





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to independent claims 1, 15 and 21, and the introduction of new claims 50-59, would require further consideration and searching by the examiner which would place an unacceptable burden on the examiner at this after-fina stage of the prosecution of this application.